1

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2
                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
3
     W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
5
     capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
     OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
6
     OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
     ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)
7
     in his capacity as the
     TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
8
     FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
9
                  Plaintiff,
10
                                    )4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
     VS.
     TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
11
12
                  Defendants.
13
                       THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
14
     ROGER OLSEN, PhD, produced as a witness on behalf
15
     of the Defendants in the above styled and numbered
16
     cause, taken on the 2nd day of February, 2008, in
17
     the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of
18
     Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A. Steinmeyer, a Certified
19
     Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under and by
20
     virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.
21
22
23
24
25
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

EXHIBIT 4-2

	Nilling and the second of the
1	The time is 6:05 p.m.
2	Q Did you sample any fields that had not had
3	litter applied to them which were cited, in other
4	words, located between, say, a poultry farm where
5	litter had been applied and a water body where in 06:06PM
6	other words, you've collected you identified a
7	poultry signature at a poultry farm and you've
8	identified a poultry signature in water. Did you do
9	anything to take any samples from any pastures in
10	between to determine whether that signature or you 06:06PM
1.1	saw that signature on those particular parcels?
1.2	A That wasn't one of our objectives. There may
13	be some examples like that because of all the
14	samples we take, but that wasn't we didn't
15	determine where to sample based on that at all. 06:06PM
16	Q Okay. So to your knowledge, as you sit here
17	right now, there isn't a situation where you at
18	least not intentionally gone out and sampled
19	pastures in between a poultry farm and a water body
20	that didn't have litter applied to it? 06:06PM
21	A Well, I know there are some. You know that
22	example, that high flow station forest up and down,
23	you see the farm, you see the field and you see the
24	river. So that was a case where we did sample the
25	river. 06:07PM

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

1	Q Okay. So you've identified one instance where
2	that was potentially done. Did you do anything in
3	that one instance to compare the levels of any of
4	the parameters of your poultry signature at the farm
5	versus at the intervening pasture versus in the 06:07PM
6	water body?
7	A The way the principal component works right
8	now is we don't combine solid sample, litter samples
9	and soil samples with liquid samples. It's a
10	completely different matrix. 06:07PM
11	Q Okay.
12	A So on all the solid samples, we do litter,
13	soil, sediment.
14	Q So the answer is no to my question?
15	A We don't combine those two types of analysis 06:07PM
16	in what we do.
17	Q So the answer to my question is you didn't do
18	that?
19	MR. PAGE: Object to the form.
20	A I always compare the overall chemical 06:07PM
21	signature, but I didn't put both of them in a PCA
22	and create the scores together, if that's what you
23	are asking.
24	Q Does your poultry signature change in
25	characteristics in litter versus in soil versus in 06:08PM

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878